IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-20656 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

TERRENCE CORNISH,

Defendant-Appellant.

Before SMITH, DeMOSS, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Terrence Cornish appeals from his conviction for possession of a firearm subsequent to a felony conviction. Focusing on the interstate commerce element of the offense, Cornish challenges the constitutionality of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g). Cornish acknowledges this court's current interpretation of the statute, which requires only a minimal nexus between the firearm and interstate commerce. Nevertheless, Cornish invites us to reconsider this statutory interpretation in light of the evidence presented at his trial regarding the high percentage of firearms

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

manufactured outside of Texas. Citing <u>Jones v. United States</u>,
529 U.S. 848, 856-58 (2000), Cornish argues that this high
percentage demonstrates that the statute's reach is overly broad,
since an "overwhelming majority" of weapons fall within the
statute's purview.

The "in or affecting commerce" element of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) requires only a minimal nexus between the firearm and interstate commerce. <u>United States v. Gresham</u>, 118 F.3d 258, 265 (5th Cir. 1997). This element is satisfied because the firearm possessed by Cornish previously traveled in interstate commerce. <u>United States v. Rawls</u>, 85 F.3d 240, 242-43 (5th Cir. 1996).

Cornish's reliance on <u>Jones</u> is unavailing. We have recently held that <u>Jones</u> neither affects nor undermines the constitutionality of § 922(g). <u>See United States v. Daugherty</u>, 264 F.3d 513, 518 (5th Cir. 2001), <u>cert. denied</u>, 122 S. Ct. 1113 (2002).

AFFIRMED.