

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 01-11336  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

EDGAR JONES,

Defendant-Appellant.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 5:01-CR-30-2  
-----

June 4, 2002

Before DeMOSS, PARKER, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Counsel for Edgar Jones has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with Anders v. California.<sup>1</sup> Our independent review of the record, counsel's brief, and Jones' pro se response shows that there are no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. To the extent that Jones argues in his response that his counsel was ineffective for failing to (1) communicate the terms of the plea agreement, (2) provide Jones with discovery documents, (3) consult with Jones prior to his guilty-plea hearing, and (4) discuss with Jones issues related to his appeal,

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

<sup>1</sup> 386 U.S. 738 (1967).

the record has not been adequately developed for us to consider Jones' arguments on direct appeal. See United States v. Rivas, 157 F.3d 364, 369 (5th Cir. 1998).

Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and this appeal is DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.