

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 00-50641  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ANTONIO GARCIA-HERNANDEZ, also known as  
Antonio Hernandez, also known as Juan  
Antonio Garcia, also known as Pedro  
Hernandez-Garcia,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50682

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DANIEL SANCHEZ-CONTRERAS, also known  
as Daniel Sanchez,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50692

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CARLOS REYNA-SANTANA, also known as  
Mario Salinas-Cardenas, also known  
as Felix Rivas,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50825

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JUAN GABRIEL SEGOVIA-GALAN, also known  
as Roger Gallegos,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50826

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSE HOMERO RUELES-HERNANDEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -  
Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
- - - - -

February 15, 2001

Before SMITH, BARKSDALE, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined  
that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent

Antonio Garcia-Hernandez, Daniel Sanchez-Contreras, Carlos Reyna-Santana, Juan Gabriel Segovia-Galan, and Jose Homero Rueles-Hernandez (collectively the Defendants) appeal their sentences following their guilty plea convictions for illegal re-entry after deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. The Defendants argue that their sentences should not have exceeded the two-year maximum sentence under 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). The Defendants acknowledge that their argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but they seek to preserve the issue for Supreme Court review in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000).

The Defendants' argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres, 523 U.S. at 235.

The Government has moved for a summary affirmance in lieu of filing an appellee's brief. In its motion, the Government asks that the judgments of the district court be affirmed and that an appellee's brief not be required. The motion is granted.

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED.