

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 00-50595  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALEJANDRO ROCHA-MENDOZA,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50631

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CATALINO GARCIA-ARREDONDO, also known as  
Samuel Perez-Hernandez,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50663

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

EUTIQUIO GONZALEZ-GONZALEZ, also known as  
Luis Ramirez,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50701

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MARTIN MORALES-HERNANDEZ, also known as  
Martin H. Morales,

Defendant-Appellant;

---

Consolidated with  
No. 00-50769

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ADRIAN DEMERIO LABRADO-ALVAREZ, also known as  
Adrian Labrado,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -  
Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
- - - - -

February 15, 2001

Before SMITH, BARKSDALE, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Alejandro Rocha-Mendoza, Catalino Garcia-Arredondo, Eutiquio  
Gonzalez-Gonzalez\*\*, Martin Morales-Hernandez, Adrian Demerio  
Labrado-Alvarez (collectively the Defendants) appeal their

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined  
that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent  
except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR.  
R. 47.5.4.

\*\*Gonzalez's real name is David Chavez-Prado.

sentences following their guilty plea convictions for illegal re-entry after deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. The Defendants argue that their sentences should not have exceeded the two-year maximum sentence under 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). The Defendants acknowledge that their argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but they seek to preserve the issue for Supreme Court review in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 120 S. Ct. 2348 (2000).

The Defendants' argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres, 523 U.S. at 235.

The Government has moved for a summary affirmance in lieu of filing an appellee's brief. In its motion, the Government asks that the judgments of the district court be affirmed and that an appellee's brief not be required. The motion is granted.

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED.