IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-41268 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

RODNEY LYDELL PHILLIPS, also known as Ice

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 6:00-CR-16-1 September 6, 2001

Before KING, Chief Judge, and HIGGINBOTHAM and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Rodney Lydell Phillips appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and sentence for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute crack cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(a).

Phillips argues that the district court erred in refusing to grant him a downward departure, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K2.0 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(b), based on his having provided substantial assistance to authorities and on the danger in which he was being

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

placed as a result of having divulged such information. He also cited his status as a married father and his limited criminal history as reasons for departure. This court has jurisdiction to review a district court's decision not to depart downward from the applicable guideline range only if the district court based its decision upon an erroneous belief that it lacked the authority to depart. <u>United States v. Palmer</u>, 122 F.3d 215, 222 (5th Cir. 1999); <u>United States v. Valencia-Gonzales</u>, 172 F.3d 344, 346 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 528 U.S. 894 (1999). Contrary to Phillips' assertion, there is no indication in Phillips' sentencing transcript that the district court's refusal to depart was based on anything other than the facts of the case. Accordingly, the issue is not reviewable. <u>See Palmer</u>, 122 F.3d at 222.

AFFIRMED.