## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-31127 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CARLOS ALBERTO CASTILLO-OCON,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 00-CR-88-ALL-J June 15, 2001

Before WIENER, DeMOSS, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Carlos Alberto Castillo-Ocon ("Castillo") appeals the 46month sentence imposed following his plea of guilty to a charge of being found in the United States after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. He contends that the felony conviction that resulted in his increased sentence under 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) was an element of the offense that should have been charged in the indictment. Castillo additionally argues that the two-year period of supervised release he received is illegal under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(3). He acknowledges that his arguments

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

are foreclosed by the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Almendarez-</u> <u>Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but he seeks to preserve the issue for Supreme Court review in light of the decision in <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 120 S. Ct. 2348 (2000).

<u>Apprendi</u> did not overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>. <u>See</u> <u>Apprendi</u>, 120 S. Ct. at 2362; <u>United States v. Dabeit</u>, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000), <u>cert. denied</u>, 121 S. Ct. 1214 (2001). Castillo's arguments are foreclosed. The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.