IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 00-30864 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

L.S. POUNCY,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 00-30958 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CLYDE O'NEAL, III,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 00-31146 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ROY LEE DEBOSE,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana USDC No. 99-CR-50082-3

November 19, 2001

No. 00-30864 No. 00-30958 No. 00-31146 -2-

Before DAVIS, BENAVIDES and STEWART, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

L.S. Pouncy and Clyde O'Neal appeal the sentences following their guilty plea convictions. Pouncy pleaded guilty to conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 50 or more grams of cocaine base. O'Neal pleaded guilty to conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 500 or more grams of cocaine. Both Pouncy and O'Neal assented to a forfeiture-of-property count under 21 U.S.C. § 853. Roy Lee Debose was convicted by a jury of two conspiracy counts, one to possess with intent to distribute 500 or more grams of cocaine and one to possess with intent to distribute 50 or more grams of cocaine base.

Pouncy argues that \$100 special assessment should not have been imposed for his forfeiture count. However, Pouncy did not raise this objection in the district court, and he has not shown that the imposition of the special assessment was plain error. <u>See United States v. Hernandez-Guevara</u>, 162 F.3d 863, 870 (5th Cir. 1998); Fed. R. Crim. P. 52(b). His sentence is AFFIRMED.

O'Neal argues that the district court should have credited his offense level by two because O'Neal was a minor participant in the offense. Our review of the presentence report and sentencing record reveals that the district court did not clearly err by not sustaining O'Neal's role-in-the-offense objection.

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 00-30864 No. 00-30958 No. 00-31146 -3-

<u>See United States v. Zuniqa</u>, 18 F.3d 1254, 1261 (5th Cir. 1994). O'Neal was also imposed a \$100 special assessment for his forfeiture count; however, like Pouncy, he does not show that the special assessment was plain error. O'Neal's sentence is AFFIRMED.

Debose argues that there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction for either of his conspiracy counts, that there was a material variance between the trial evidence and the conspiracy allegations in the indictment, that the district court should have sentenced Debose within the Sentencing Guidelines range for count two because he satisfied the requirements of the safety-valve provision, that he should have been assessed only one criminal history point, and that he should have received a minimal-role-in-the-offense adjustment to his offense level.

Our review of the trial evidence reveals that Debose was aware of the conspiracy and that he took actions to participate in the conspiracy. <u>See United States v. Puig-Infante</u>, 19 F.3d 929, 936 (5th Cir. 1994); <u>United States v. Morris</u>, 46 F.3d 410, 416 (5th Cir. 1995). The evidence at trial did not prove that Debose was involved in a conspiracy different than the one alleged in the indictment; nor were Debose's substantial rights affected. <u>See United States v. Morgan</u>, 117 F.3d 849, 858 (5th Cir. 1997); <u>United States v. Mikolajczyk</u>, 137 F.3d 237, 243 (5th Cir. 1998). The calculation of his criminal history category was correct, Debose did not meet the requirements of 18 U.S.C. No. 00-30864 No. 00-30958 No. 00-31146 -4-

§ 3553(f), and he was not entitled to be sentenced under the safety-valve provision. <u>See United States v. Flanagan</u>, 80 F.3d 143, 146 (5th Cir. 1996). The district court's refusal to credit Debose's offense level for a role-in-the-offense adjustment was not clear error. <u>See Zuniga</u>, 18 F.3d 1254, 1261.

Debose's conviction and sentence are AFFIRMED. Pouncy's and O'Neal's sentences are AFFIRMED.