

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

May 6, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 15-10390

DALLAS/FORT WORTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT BOARD,

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

INET AIRPORT SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED; MICHAEL F. COLACO;
HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, INET AIRPORT SYSTEMS,
L.L.C., As Successor in Interest to Inet Airport Systems, Incorporated,

Defendants - Appellees

CONSOLIDATED WITH 15-10600

THE DALLAS/FORT WORTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT BOARD,

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

INET AIRPORT SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED; INET AIRPORT SYSTEMS,
L.L.C., As Successor in Interest to Inet Airport Systems, Incorporated,

Defendants - Appellees

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas

ON PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING

Before CLEMENT and HAYNES, Circuit Judges, and GARCIA MARMOLEJO, District Judge.*

O R D E R :

The petition for panel rehearing filed by Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board is DENIED. Among other arguments in the petition, DFW contends that the statement in footnote 2 of our opinion that the parties “do not mention or challenge the district court’s dismissal of claims against Colaco” is incorrect, as Colaco was mentioned in DFW’s brief on appeal. Although it is true that Colaco was “mentioned,” we conclude that this fact does not alter the result in the case as DFW failed to adequately discuss the alleged liability of Colaco and failed to adequately brief any error in Colaco’s dismissal. *See, e.g., United States v. Charles*, 469 F.3d 402, 408 (5th Cir. 2006) (“[The defendant] raises this argument in a one-sentence footnote and provides no authority for the proposition. Inadequately briefed issues are deemed abandoned.”); *Dardar v. Lafourche Realty Co.*, 985 F.2d 824, 831 (5th Cir. 1993) (“Questions posed for appellate review but inadequately briefed are considered abandoned.”).

* District Judge of the Southern District of Texas, sitting by designation.