United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 06-51062 Summary Calendar

.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

٧.

**EDUARDO COSME COMPEAN** 

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 5:04-CR-558-ALL

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Eduardo Cosme Compean appeals the sentence imposed following revocation of his probation for his conviction for possession with the intent to distribute less than 50 kilograms of marijuana. Compean argues that his sentence of 60 months of imprisonment exceeds the advisory guidelines range and is unreasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Compean's sentence of 60 months of imprisonment does not exceed the statutory term of imprisonment and is therefore a legal sentence. See United

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

States v. Pena, 125 F.3d 285, 288 (5th Cir. 1997). Moreover, the district court is not limited to the advisory ranges available at the time of the initial sentence. See id. at 287.

The district court implicitly considered the factors set forth in § 3553(a) when imposing Compean's sentence. See United States v. Gonzalez, 250 F.3d 923, 930 (5th Cir. 2001). The district court concluded that Compean repeatedly violated the conditions of his probation despite receiving numerous opportunities for rehabilitation. Thus, the sentence is neither unreasonable nor plainly unreasonable in light of the circumstances. See United States v. Hinson, 429 F.3d 114, 119-20 (5th Cir. 2005), cert. denied, 547 U.S. 1083 (2006).

The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.