Case: 08-30574 Document: 00511274223 Page: 1 Date Filed: 10/26/2010

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILEDOctober 26, 2010

No. 08-30574 Conference Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

KIN L. GAYLE,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 2:07-CR-196-1

Before SMITH, DENNIS, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Kin L. Gayle, federal prisoner # 30212-034, pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute five grams or more of crack cocaine, and he was sentenced to the mandatory minimum of 120 months in prison. Gayle appeals the district court's denial of his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) motion for a reduction of sentence, which primarily was based on recent amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines for crack cocaine. The Assistant Federal Public Defender representing Gayle on appeal has filed an unopposed motion to substitute

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.

Case: 08-30574 Document: 00511274223 Page: 2 Date Filed: 10/26/2010

No. 08-30574

counsel. Counsel avers that he was a member of the review panel charged with determining Gayle's eligibility for a reduction under the amendments to the Guidelines for crack cocaine. Counsel contends that his participation in the review panel creates a potential conflict of interest.

Because Gayle was subjected to the mandatory minimum penalty, he was not eligible for § 3582(c)(2) relief under the recent crack cocaine guidelines amendments. See 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(A), 851; United States v. Pardue, 36 F.3d 429, 431 (5th Cir. 1994). Nor has Gayle alleged or identified any basis upon which the district court could have imposed a sentence below the statutory minimum.

Gayle's appeal is entirely without merit. Therefore, counsel's motion to substitute counsel is denied, the appeal is dismissed, and counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE COUNSEL DENIED; APPEAL DISMISSED.