United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

October 31, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-40549 Summary Calendar

GEORGE ESCAMILLA,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

ERNEST CHANDLER, Warden,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

USDC No. 1:02-CV-302

Before REAVLEY, WIENER and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

George Escamilla, federal prisoner # 54920-146, appeals the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition challenging a prison disciplinary proceeding in which he was found guilty of the introduction of narcotics into Three Rivers Federal Correctional Institution (FCI). He argues that the district court erred in determining that the evidence was sufficient to support the decision of the disciplinary hearing officer (DHO).

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Escamilla has not shown that the district court erred in determining that there was "some evidence" in the record to support the DHO's disciplinary decision as the DHO's decision was based on the charging officer's statement, the contents of 33 taped telephone conversations, the SIS report of Lieutenant Robert Swain, and the statements of confidential informants.

See Reeves v. Pettcox, 19 F.3d 1060, 1062 (5th Cir. 1994).

For the first time on appeal, Escamilla argues that his due process rights were violated because he did not appear before the Unit Disciplinary Committee within 72 hours of receiving notice of the charges against him as required by "Program Statement § 5270.07." Escamilla may not raise this claim for the first time on appeal. See Leverette v. Louisville Ladder Co., 183 F.3d 339, 342 (5th Cir. 1999) (this court will not consider a new theory of relief raised for the first time on appeal).

AFFIRMED.