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UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus
ROBERTO RAMIREZ-GUERRERO, also known as Roberto Ramirez, also known as Jose Isreal Herrera, also known as Roberto Rodrigo Ramirez,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:05-CR-118-ALL

Before JOLLY, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Roberto Ramirez-Guerrero pleaded guilty to one count of unlawfully reentering the United States after having been removed subsequent to an aggravated felony conviction in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. In his sole point of error on appeal, RamirezGuerrero challenges the constitutionality of $\$ 1326(b)^{\prime} s$ treatment of prior felony and aggravated felony convictions as sentencing factors rather than elements of the offense that must
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be found by a jury in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S.
466 (2000).Ramirez-Guerrero's constitutional challenge is foreclosed byAlmendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998).
Although Ramirez-Guerrero contends that Almendarez-Torres was
incorrectly decided and that a majority of the Supreme Courtwould overrule Almendarez-Torres in light of Apprendi, we haverepeatedly rejected such arguments on the basis that Almendarez-
Torres remains binding. See United States v. Garza-Lopez, ..... 410
F.3d 268, 276 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005).
Ramirez-Guerrero properly concedes that his argument is
foreclosed in light of Almendarez-Torres, but he raises it here
to preserve it for further review.AFFIRMED.


[^0]:    * Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

