FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

October 24, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-10642 Conference Calendar

JOEL LYNN SMITH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

DALLAS COUNTY; BILL HILL; JOHN KULL; TOM D'AMORE; BILL STOVALL; TOM GRETT; TED SHOEMAKER,

Defendants-Appellees.

JOEL LYNN SMITH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

CITY OF DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT; OFFICER NFN FRAZIER, City of Dallas Police Officer, #7324; REUBEN RAMIREZ, Dallas Police #7345; BYRON BOSTON, JR., City of Dallas Police #7395; CITY MANAGER TED BENAVIDES,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas

USDC No. 3:04-CV-493

USDC No. 3:04-CV-527

Before JOLLY, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Joel Lynn Smith, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, appeals the district court's dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint as barred by Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), and the statute of limitations. Although he renews the allegations of his complaint, Smith does not challenge the district court's conclusion that his claims were barred by Heck or that his racial profiling claim was barred by the statute of limitations.

By failing to brief any argument challenging the district court's reasons for dismissal, Smith has abandoned the only grounds for appeal. See Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993); Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987). The appeal is wholly without arguable merit, is frivolous, and is therefore dismissed. See 5th Cir. R. 42.2; Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). Smith is warned that future frivolous filings will invite the imposition of sanctions.

APPEAL DISMISSED; SANCTION WARNING ISSUED.