Fifth Circuit FILED

United States Court of Appeals

October 5, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 06-30119 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DANA COLLETTE BEAUCHAMP,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana No. 3:05-CR-19-1

Before SMITH, WIENER, and OWEN, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Dana Beauchamp has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief as required by <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Beauchamp has filed a response, which includes a claim of ineffective assistance. We do not reach that claim (about which we express no opinion), because the record has not been adequately developed to address it

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

on direct appeal. <u>See United States v. Sanchez-Pena</u>, 336 F.3d 431, 445-46 (5th Cir. 2003).

Our independent review of the brief, the government's response, Beauchamp's response, and the record discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. <u>See</u> 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. All remaining motions are DENIED.