United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

October 21, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-40585 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CIPRIANO REYES-MANCIAS, also known as Guadalupe Reyes-Mancias,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 7:03-CR-942-ALL

-----

Before JOLLY, JONES, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Cipriano Reyes-Mancias pleaded guilty to one count of illegal reentry into the United States following deportation, and the district court sentenced him to 46 months in prison and a two-year term of supervised release. Reyes argues for the first time on appeal that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) is unconstitutional on its face and as applied in his case because it does not require the fact of a prior felony or aggravated felony conviction to be charged in the indictment and proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

As Reyes concedes, this argument is foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224 (1998). <u>See</u> <u>United States v. Dabeit</u>, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000).

Reyes also argues that the Supreme Court's holding in <a href="Blakely v. Washington">Blakely v. Washington</a>, \_\_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_\_, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), should be applied to sentences determined under the federal sentencing guidelines. He concedes that this argument is foreclosed by this court's recent opinion in <a href="United States v. Pineiro">United States v. Pineiro</a>, 377 F.3d 464, 465 (5th Cir. 2004), petition for cert. <a href="filed">filed</a> (U.S. July 14, 2004) (No. 04-5263), but he raises it to preserve it for possible further review.

AFFIRMED.