United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

November 9, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 05-20896 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSE HERNANDEZ, also known as Jose R. Hernandez, also known as Jose Refugio Hernandez, also known as Jose Hernandez-Refugio,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas

USDC No. 4:05-CR-263-ALL

Before BARKSDALE, DeMOSS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Jose Hernandez raises arguments that are foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v.

<u>United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998), which held that 8 U.S.C.

§ 1326(b)(2) is a penalty provision and not a separate criminal offense, and by <u>United States v. Riascos-Cuenu</u>, 428 F.3d 1100, 1101-02 (5th Cir. 2005), <u>petition for cert. filed</u> (U.S. Jan. 9, 2006) (No. 05-8662), which held that a challenge to the district court's order requiring the defendant to cooperate in the

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

collection of a DNA sample as a condition of supervised release is not ripe for review on direct appeal. The Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED.

JUDGMENT AFFIRMED; APPEAL DISMISSED IN PART.