United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**November 9, 2005** 

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-20267 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSE PINEDA-GARDUNO, also known as Jose Garduno Pineda, also known as Jose G. Pineda,

Defendant-Appellant.

-----

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:04-CR-369-ALL

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:\*

Jose Pineda-Garduno ("Pineda") appeals the sentence imposed following entry of his guilty plea to a charge of being found illegally in the United States after he had been removed subsequent to his conviction for an aggravated felony. The district court sentenced Pineda to 57 months of imprisonment and three years of supervised release.

Pineda's sole issue on appeal is a challenge to the validity of <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

the use of his prior conviction to increase his sentence. Pineda asserts that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) are unconstitutional because they are treated as sentencing factors rather than as elements of the offense. Pineda concedes that his argument is foreclosed by circuit precedent and admits that he raises the argument merely to preserve it for Supreme Court review.

As Pineda concedes, his arguments are foreclosed. <u>See</u>

<u>United States v. Izaguirre-Flores</u>, 405 F.3d 270, 277-78 (5th

Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S. Ct. 253 (2005); <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Mancia-Perez</u>, 331 F.3d 464, 470 (5th Cir. 2003). The Supreme

Court in <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466, 490 (2000), did

not overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>, and we must follow <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> "unless and until the Supreme Court itself determines to overrule it." <u>Mancia-Perez</u>, 331 F.3d at 470 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

AFFIRMED.