United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

November 9, 2005

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-41596 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MARIA ISABEL MARTINEZ-TREVINO, also known as Isabel Maria Martinez-Trevino,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 5:04-CR-1176-ALL

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Maria Isabel Martinez-Trevino ("Martinez") appeals her conviction and sentence for illegal reentry after a previous deportation. She argues that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1) and (2) are unconstitutional in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). Martinez raises an issue that she concedes is foreclosed, but she seeks to preserve it for further review.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

This argument is foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United</u>
States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998). <u>Apprendi</u> did not overrule

<u>Almendarez-Torres</u>. <u>See Apprendi</u>, 530 U.S. at 489-90; <u>see also United States v. Dabeit</u>, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000). The Supreme Court's decisions in <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct.

2531 (2004), and <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), did not overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>. <u>See Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. at

756; <u>Blakely</u>, 124 S. Ct. at 2536-43. This court does not have the authority to overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>. <u>See Dabeit</u>, 231

F.3d at 984. Accordingly, Martinez's conviction and sentence are AFFIRMED.