United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

November 30, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-10953 Summary Calendar

GREGORY D. ROWE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

MENTAL HEALTH MENTAL RETARDATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:04-CV-193-A

Before JOLLY, HIGGINBOTHAM, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURTAM:*

Gregory D. Rowe moves this court for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") in this appeal from the district court's dismissal of his discrimination suit for failure to prosecute. Rowe failed to pay the filing fee after the district court determined that he should not be granted IFP status because Rowe had abused the IFP process by providing inconsistent information in his IFP declarations and filing frivolous suits in the past.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Rowe's motion for IFP fails to address the district court's rationale for dismissing the suit. Although this court liberally construes pro se briefs, see Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), even pro se litigants must brief arguments in order to preserve them. Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993). By failing to discuss the district court's rationale for dismissing his complaint, Rowe has abandoned the issue, and it is the same as if he had not appealed the judgment. See Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987).

Because Rowe has failed to demonstrate that he will raise a nonfrivolous issue on appeal, his motion to proceed IFP is denied. See FED. R. APP. P. 24(a); Carson v. Polley, 689 F.2d 562, 586 (5th Cir. 1982). The appeal is without merit and is dismissed as frivolous. See Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

MOTION FOR IFP DENIED; APPEAL DISMISSED.