United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

November 4, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-10621 Summary Calendar

LUTHER D. WILSON,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

DOUG DRETKE, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:01-CV-1082-H

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Luther D. Wilson, Texas prisoner # 810897, was convicted after a jury trial of aggravated sexual assault and was sentenced to life imprisonment. He seeks a certificate of appealability (COA) to appeal the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 application.

A timely notice of appeal "is a mandatory precondition to the exercise of appellate jurisdiction." Wilkens v. Johnson, 238 F.3d 328, 330 (5th Cir. 2001). This court must examine the

 $^{^{\}star}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

basis of its jurisdiction on its own motion if necessary. <u>Id.</u>
Wilson did not file his notice of appeal within 30 days of the entry of the district court's judgment on January 6, 2004. <u>See</u>
FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(1)(A). He asserts that he did not receive notice of entry of judgment until April 29, 2004. Although Wilson filed a motion to reopen the time for filing a notice of appeal, which the district court granted, this motion was made more than seven days after Wilson received notice of entry of the district court's judgment. Therefore, the district court lacked authority to grant the motion to reopen, and Wilson's appeal is untimely. <u>See</u> FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(6); <u>Wilkens</u>, 238 F.3d at 332, 335-36.

The appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction, and the motion for a COA is DENIED as moot.