IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED June 17, 2013

No. 12-41048 Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

RAMIRO OLVERA-RICO,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:12-CR-15-1

Before WIENER, ELROD, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:^{*}

Ramiro Olvera-Rico appeals the sentence imposed following his guilty plea to being found in the United States illegally after deportation. Olvera-Rico was sentenced to 70 months in prison and three years of supervised release. He contends that the sentence imposed is procedurally and substantively unreasonable because it includes a supervised release term notwithstanding the recommendation of U.S.S.G. § 5D1.1(c).

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Case: 12-41048 Document: 00512276113 Page: 2 Date Filed: 06/17/2013

No. 12-41048

The Government moves for dismissal of the appeal because Olvera-Rico's claim of error is barred by his appeal waiver. While he has recognized the existence of the appeal waiver and certified that the Government intends to enforce the waiver, see United States v. Acquaye, 452 F.3d 380, 382 (5th Cir. 2006), Olvera-Rico has not challenged its validity on appeal, and he has not asserted that he is appealing based on the exceptions to the appeal waiver. Accordingly, he has abandoned any argument that the waiver is invalid or inapplicable. See United States v. Green, 964 F.2d 365, 371 (5th Cir. 1992). Moreover, a review of the record indicates that Olvera-Rico knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to appeal his sentence, see United States v. Portillo, 18 F.3d 290, 292 (5th Cir. 1994), and that his challenge to the inclusion of a term of supervised release in the sentence does not fall within the exceptions to the waiver.

Although a valid waiver does not implicate our jurisdiction, *see United States v. Story*, 439 F.3d 226, 230 (5th Cir. 2006), Olvera-Rico's appeal of his sentence is clearly barred by the waiver, and the appeal is dismissed as frivolous. *See Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 219–20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. The Government's motion to dismiss is granted, and its alternative motion for an extension of time to file a brief is denied as unnecessary.

APPEAL DISMISSED; GOVERNMENT MOTION GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED AS UNNECESSARY IN PART.

 $\mathbf{2}$