United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 28, 2005

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-50729 Summary Calendar

RESTITUTION REVIVAL CHURCH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

WACO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 6:04-CV-40

Before GARZA, DeMOSS, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Restitution Revival Church ("Restitution Revival") appeals the dismissal of its 42 U.S.C. § 1983 lawsuit against Waco Independent School District ("WISD"), asserting violations of the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as a violation of the Texas Religious Freedom Act arising out of WISD's acquisition through state condemnation proceedings of three tracts of land adjacent to and owned by Restitution Revival. WISD asserts that dismissal was proper, renewing its argument

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

that Restitution Revival's claims are not ripe and are barred by res judicata.

Although neither party addresses the issue, we find that Restitution Revival's lawsuit, by which it seeks to collaterally attack the state-court judgment in the condemnation proceedings, is barred by the <u>Rooker-Feldman</u>^{**} doctrine. <u>See Liedtke v. State</u> <u>Bar of Texas</u>, 18 F.3d 315, 317 (5th Cir. 1994); <u>see also Mosley</u> <u>v. Cozby</u>, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). Restitution Revival's proper remedy was to seek certiorari from the Supreme Court after the Texas Supreme Court denied review in the condemnation proceedings, not file suit in federal district court. <u>See Liedtke</u>, 18 F.3d at 317. The district court's dismissal of the lawsuit is AFFIRMED. <u>See Doody v. Ameriquest</u> <u>Mortgage Co.</u>, 242 F.3d 286, 289 (5th Cir. 2001).

AFFIRMED.

^{** &}lt;u>See Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.</u>, 263 U.S. 413 (1923) and <u>District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman</u>, 460 U.S. 462 (1983).