United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 22, 2005

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-41213 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

RAUL ALVARADO-VELASQUEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 7:04-CR-329-ALL

Before WIENER, BENAVIDES, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Raul Alvarado-Velasquez ("Alvarado") appeals the 37-month sentence of imprisonment imposed following his guilty-plea conviction of one count of being found illegally in the United States following removal. See 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), (b).

Alvarado, relying on <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), argues that the district court violated his rights under the Sixth Amendment by enhancing his sentence by 16 levels under U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A)(i). The Sixth Amendment holding of

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Blakely applies to the federal sentencing guidelines. See United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738, 746 (2005).

Because Alvarado did not raise his argument in the district court, our review is for plain error only. See United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511, 520 (5th Cir. 2005), petition for cert.

filed (Mar. 31, 2005) (No. 04-9517). Our review of the record reveals no indication that the district court, sentencing under an advisory scheme rather than a mandatory one, would have reached a significantly different result as to Alvarado's sentence. See id. at 521. Because Alvarado cannot establish that his substantial rights were affected, he cannot establish plain error. See id. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.