United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 22, 2005

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-40972 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CARLOS LOZANO-HERRERA,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:04-CR-216-ALL

Before WIENER, BENAVIDES, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Carlos Lozano-Herrera ("Lozano") pleaded guilty to one count of being found in the United States without having obtained permission to re-enter after deportation and was sentenced to 46 months of imprisonment. Lozano argues, for the first time on appeal, that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) is unconstitutional in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). Lozano's argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224 (1998). See United States v. Dabeit, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000).

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Also for the first time on appeal, Lozano, relying on the possibility that <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> will be overruled, as well as on <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), argues that the federal sentencing guidelines are unconstitutional because they permit the enhancement of a sentence based on prior convictions. Lozano's argument fails because <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> has not been overruled and because the enhancement of a sentence based on prior convictions does not violate the Sixth Amendment. <u>See</u> <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738, 756 (2005).

The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.