IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED February 12, 2010

No. 09-40017 Conference Calendar

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JAMES LADNER,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:07-CR-189-7

Before GARZA, DENNIS, and ELROD, Circuit Judges. $PER\ CURIAM:^*$

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent James Ladner has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v*. *California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Ladner has filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Ladner's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; such claims generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when [they have] not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations."

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.

United States v. Cantwell, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Our independent review of the record, counsel's brief, and Ladner's response discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Ladner's motion for appointment of new counsel is DENIED. Cf. United States v. Wagner, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).