United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

February 24, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-40943 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LEOCADIO VELASQUEZ, also known as Leocadio Pecellin,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 2:05-CR-131-ALL

Before GARZA, DENNIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Leocadio Velasquez appeals his guilty-plea conviction of being found illegally in the United States after having previously been deported. Velasquez argues that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1) and (2) are unconstitutional both on their face and as applied. Velasquez's constitutional challenge is foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998). Although Velasquez contends that <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> was incorrectly decided

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

and that a majority of the Supreme Court would overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> in light of <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), we have repeatedly rejected such arguments on the basis that <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> remains binding. <u>See United States</u> <u>v. Garza-Lopez</u>, 410 F.3d 268, 276 (5th. Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005). Velasquez properly concedes that his argument is foreclosed in light of <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> and circuit precedent, but he raises it here to preserve it for further review.

The district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.