United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

February 23, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 05-40256 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JUAN RANGEL-REYES,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 6:04-CR-80-1

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-----

Before GARZA, DENNIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Juan Rangel-Reyes (Rangel) appeals his conviction and sentence for illegal reentry, raising a constitutional challenge to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1) and (2). We do not reach the applicability of the sentencing waiver because Rangel's constitutional challenge is foreclosed by <a href="Almendarez-Torres v.">Almendarez-Torres v.</a>
United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998). Although Rangel contends that <a href="Almendarez-Torres">Almendarez-Torres</a> was incorrectly decided and that a majority of the Supreme Court would overrule <a href="Almendarez-Torres">Almendarez-Torres</a> in light of <a href="Apprendi v. New Jersey">Apprendi v. New Jersey</a>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), we have

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

repeatedly rejected such arguments on the basis that <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> remains binding. <u>See United States v. Garza-Lopez</u>, 410 F.3d 268, 276 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005). Rangel properly concedes that his argument is foreclosed in light of <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> and circuit precedent, but he raises it here to preserve it for further review.

AFFIRMED.