United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**February 23, 2006** 

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 05-40048 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

SAUL DE PAZ-SAUCEDO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:04-CR-594-ALL

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-----

Before GARZA, DENNIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Saul De Paz-Saucedo (De Paz) appeals from his guilty-plea conviction for being unlawfully present in the United States subsequent to deportation following an aggravated felony conviction. De Paz's constitutional challenge to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998). Although De Paz contends that Almendarez-Torres was incorrectly decided and that a majority of the Supreme Court would overrule Almendarez-Torres in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), we have repeatedly

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

rejected such arguments on the basis that <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>
remains binding. <u>See United States v. Garza-Lopez</u>, 410 F.3d 268,
276 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005). De Paz
properly concedes that his argument is foreclosed in light of
<u>Almendarez-Torres</u> and circuit precedent, but he raises it here to
preserve it for further review.

AFFIRMED.