United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

December 17, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 04-40731 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MARTIN ENRIQUE BERNAL-ISLER, also known as Jose Enrique Bernal,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas

USDC No. 7:03-CR-1051-1

Before KING, Chief Judge, and DeMOSS and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Martin Enrique Bernal-Isler (Bernal) appeals from his sentence imposed on a guilty-plea conviction for illegal re-entry. The district court imposed a 77-month term of imprisonment followed by a two-year term of supervised release.

For the first time on appeal, Bernal contends that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) are unconstitutional in light of <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). As Bernal concedes, this issue is foreclosed.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

See Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 247 (1998);
United States v. Dabeit, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000).

Bernal further argues that the Supreme Court's holding in Blakely v. Washington, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), should be applied to sentences determined under the federal sentencing guidelines. As Bernal also concedes, this argument is foreclosed by our opinion in <u>United States v. Pineiro</u>, 377 F.3d 464, 473 (5th Cir. 2004), petition for cert. filed (U.S. July 14, 2004) (No. 04-5263), but he raises it to preserve it for possible further review. Accordingly, the sentence of the district court is AFFIRMED.