United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

December 17, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 04-40601 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

SERGIO DURAN-GOMEZ, also known as Sergio Duran,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:04-CR-94-ALL

Before KING, Chief Judge, and DeMOSS and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Sergio Duran-Gomez entered a guilty plea to one count of being found illegally in the United States following deportation and a prior conviction for a drug-trafficking offense. He appeals his conviction and his sentence of forty-six months of imprisonment and three years of supervised release.

For the first time on appeal, Duran-Gomez contends that the sentencing provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1) and (b)(2) are unconstitutional in light of <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

(2000). Duran-Gomez acknowledges that his argument is foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but he seeks to preserve the issue for Supreme Court review.

<u>Apprendi</u> did not overrule <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>. <u>See Apprendi</u>, 530 U.S. at 489-90; <u>United States v. Mancia-Perez</u>, 331 F.3d 464, 470 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 540 U.S. 935 (2003).

Additionally, as Duran-Gomez concedes, his argument that his sentence was imposed in violation of <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), is foreclosed by circuit precedent. <u>United States v. Pineiro</u>, 377 F.3d 464, 465-66 (5th Cir. 2004), <u>petition for cert. filed</u> (U.S. July 14, 2004) (No. 04-5263). The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.