United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**December 17, 2004** 

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 04-40512 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ANGEL SILVESTRE ARELLANO-RIOS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 1:04-CR-50-1

Before KING, Chief Judge, and DeMOSS and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Angel Silvestre Arellano-Rios pleaded guilty to being an alien unlawfully found in the United States after deportation, having previously been convicted of an aggravated felony, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b). The district court sentenced him to serve 87 months of imprisonment and three years of supervised release.

For the first time on appeal, Arellano-Rios argues that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions set forth in 8 U.S.C.

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

§ 1326(b) are unconstitutional in light of Apprendi v. New

Jersey, 530 U.S. 466, 490 (2000), because they do not require the

fact of a prior felony or aggravated felony conviction to be

charged in the indictment and proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

As Arellano-Rios concedes, his argument is foreclosed by

Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 234-35 (1998).

See United States v. Dabeit, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000).

Arellano-Rios also argues for the first time on appeal that if Almendarez-Torres is overruled, the Supreme Court's holding in Blakely v. Washington, 124 S. Ct. 2531, 2537 (2004), renders unconstitutional the district court's calculation of his sentence under the United States Sentencing Guidelines based on facts relating to his prior convictions that were neither found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt nor admitted by him. Arellano-Rios concedes that in addition to the obstacle posed by Almendarez-Torres, his argument regarding the effect of Blakely is foreclosed by United States v. Pineiro, 377 F.3d 464, 465-66 (5th Cir. 2004), petition for cert. filed (U.S. July 14, 2004) (No. 04-5263), in which this court held that Blakely does not extend to the United States Sentencing Guidelines.

AFFIRMED.