United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

December 17, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 04-40409 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JULIO CESAR VASQUEZ-ALEJOS, also known as Andre Maya-Galvan,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:03-CR-898-ALL

Before KING, Chief Judge, and DeMOSS and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Julio Cesar Vasquez-Alejos appeals his guilty-plea conviction and sentence for being found unlawfully present in the United States after deportation, following an aggravated felony, pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b). Vasquez-Alejos argues, pursuant to Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), that the "felony" and "aggravated felony" provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1) and (2) are elements of the offense, not sentence enhancements, making those provisions unconstitutional. He

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Concedes that this argument is foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v.</u>

<u>United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but he raises it for possible review by the Supreme Court. <u>See United States v. Dabeit</u>, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000).

Vasquez-Alejos asserts that if <u>Almendarez-Torres</u> is overturned and the decision in <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), is held to apply to federal sentencing guidelines, then his sentence could not be based on facts not admitted by him or found by a jury. This argument is foreclosed by <u>United States v. Pineiro</u>, 377 F.3d 464, 465-66 (5th Cir. 2004), <u>petition for cert. filed</u> (U.S. July 14, 2004)(No. 04-5263).

AFFIRMED.