United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

August 18, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-10320 Conference Calendar

THOMAS P. BUDNICK,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

HAMPDEN COUNTY HOUSE OF CORRECTION,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 3:03-CV-3048-N

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, DAVIS, and PICKERING, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Thomas P. Budnick, # 34-123286, a Massachusetts inmate housed at the Hampden County House of Corrections in Ludlow, Massachusetts, appeals the dismissal of his complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) for lack of proper venue. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). We hold that the district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing Budnick's complaint for lack of proper venue. <u>See Lowery v. Estelle</u>, 533 F.2d 265, 267 (5th Cir. 1976).

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Because the appeal lacks arguable merit, it is frivolous and is DISMISSED. <u>See Howard v. King</u>, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

The dismissal of this appeal as frivolous counts as a strike for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). <u>See Adepeqba v. Hammons</u>, 103 F.3d 383, 387 (5th Cir. 1996). Budnick is cautioned that once he accumulates three strikes, he may not proceed <u>in forma</u> <u>pauperis</u> (IFP) in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in any facility. As Budnick is not proceeding IFP in the instant appeal, Budnick also is WARNED that sanctions may be imposed in response to future frivolous filings.

APPEAL DISMISSED AS FRIVOLOUS; SANCTIONS WARNING ISSUED.