

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 99-10324  
Summary Calendar

---

JAMES WATSON RAMSEY,

Plaintiff-Counter Defendant-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; ROBERT RUBIN, Secretary of Treasury; JOHN MAGRAW, Director of ATF; LES MARTZ, Special Agent in Charge of ATF; JERRY LLOYD, ATF Agent; JIM BOWLES, Sheriff of Dallas County; BOB KNOWLES, Assistant Chief Deputy; JOHN DOE, Captain of Lew Sterrett Justice Center Central Intake Division; JOHN DOE, Lieutenant in Charge of Detention (3 PM Shift); JOHN DOE, Lieutenant in Charge of Detention (11 PM Shift); JOHN DOE, Lieutenant in Charge of Detention (7 AM Shift); JOHN DOE, Sergeant in Charge of Detention (3 PM Shift); JOHN DOE, Sergeant in Charge of Detention (11 PM Shift); JOHN DOE, Sergeant in Charge of Detention (7 AM Shift); KATHLEEN HAWK, Director of Bureau of Prisons; GEORGE E. KILLINGER, Warden FCI Ft. Worth; HASS, Assistant Warden FMC Fort Worth; JOHN DOE, Head of Intake Screening at FMC Fort Worth; MAC EASLEY, Clinic Supervisor at FMC Fort Worth; M. ANDUJAR, Pharmacist at FMC Fort Worth; MIKE SHARP, Chief Psychologist FMC Fort Worth; DEBORAH MITCHELL, Psychologist FMC Fort Worth; JAN WOOD, Case Manager FMC Fort Worth; P. SHANKS, Case Manager FMC Fort Worth; HEMMINGWAY, U.S. Public Health Therapist FMC Fort Worth; FLYCZAK, U.S. Public Health Therapist FMC Fort Worth; ANNETTE CURRIER, Utilization Review Manager FMC Fort Worth; MELVIN, Outside "Jobst" Contractor for U.S. Public Health Service FMC Fort Worth,

Defendants-Appellees,

and

MARK HOWELL, City of Carrollton Arson Investigator; D. WIGNALL, City of Carrollton Assistant Fire Chief; BRUCE VARNER, City of Carrollton Fire Chief,

Defendants-Counter Claimants-Appellees.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:96-CV-3358-G  
-----

February 10, 2000

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

James Watson Ramsey, federal prisoner #28081-077, appeals from the district court's dismissal of his civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). He argues that he should have been allowed to sue the federal defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and that the federal defendants were not entitled to qualified immunity; that his claims against defendants Wignall, Varner, Bowles, and Knowles should not have been dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6); and that the district court erred by granting summary judgment in favor of defendant Howell. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Because Ramsey failed to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to filing suit, the district court did not err by dismissing his claims against the United States. See Flory v. United States, 138 F.3d 157, 159 (5th Cir. 1998). Further, Ramsey has failed to show how defendants Wignall, Varner, Bowles, or Knowles were personally involved in any alleged constitutional violations. See Thompkins v. Belt, 828 F.2d 298, 304 (5th Cir. 1987). Finally, because Ramsey's claims against Howell and the individual federal defendants are not adequately briefed, these claims are deemed abandoned on appeal and will not be addressed

---

\* Pursuant to 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5.4.

by the court. See Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 225 (5th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED. Ramsey's motion to file three individual reply briefs is DENIED.

AFFIRMED; MOTION DENIED.