

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-30114
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALDOLPHUS WILSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. CA-94-528-J
- - - - -

October 14, 1996

Before JONES, DeMOSS and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Aldolphus Wilson, #22996-034, appeals from the district court's denial of his motion to vacate his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Wilson argues that he received ineffective assistance of counsel because his trial attorney failed to inform him fully of his appellate rights. We have reviewed the record and the briefs of the parties and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons adopted by the district court. See United States v. Wilson, No. 94-528-J (E.D. La. Jan.

* Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

31, 1996). Wilson also argues that the district court erred by denying his motion to amend his § 2255 motion to add a claim based upon the double jeopardy clause. Because Wilson's double jeopardy claim is foreclosed by the Supreme Court's decision in United States v. User, 116 S. Ct. 2134 (1996), the district court's judgment denying his motion to amend his § 2255 motion to add the claim is affirmed.

AFFIRMED.