

June 5, 2003

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 02-21226  
Summary Calendar

---

YAHVAH, in and through Yahvah's Kingdom People  
via ambassadors Claude Hugh Lloyd The Second  
and Cassondra Jean Lloyd,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

HENRY CUELIAR, Texas Secretary of State;  
RICK PERRY, Texas Governor; CLARENCE JAMES,  
Harris County Appraisal Review Board; JIM ROBINSON,  
Harris County Appraisal District; PETE ALFARO,  
Baytown Mayor; DON HENDRIX, Crosby Independent  
School District Superintendent; BARBARA SULTIS,  
Goose Creek Independent School District Superintendent,  
All others of like kind; CITY OF BAYTOWN,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
(H-02-CV-87)

---

Before BARKSDALE, DeMOSS, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Claude Hugh Lloyd and Cassondra Jean Lloyd seek a declaratory judgment that the "taxing entities" of the United States and Texas have no jurisdiction over them. This court must examine the basis

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

of its jurisdiction, *sua sponte* if necessary. *E.g.*, ***Copling v. Container Store, Inc.***, 174 F.3d 590, 594 (5th Cir. 1999). The Lloyds did not file a timely notice of appeal from the final judgment dismissing their action for lack of jurisdiction. Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to review that judgment. *E.g.* ***Dison v. Whitley***, 20 F.3d 185, 186 (5th Cir. 1994).

The Lloyds did timely file a notice of appeal from the order striking their pleading entitled, "Final order of formal acknowledgment from United States District Court". Because the Lloyds have not identified any error in that order, however, they have abandoned that appeal. See ***Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner***, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987). The appeal is without arguable merit and, therefore, is **DISMISSED** as frivolous. See ***Howard v. King***, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. (The "Demand to compel court to sign final order" is **DENIED**.)

The Lloyds are warned that filing future frivolous complaints or appeals will result in the imposition of sanctions.

**APPEAL DISMISSED; MOTION DENIED; SANCTION WARNING ISSUED**