

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
For the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 00-30797

---

HARRY MENDOZA; ET AL,

Plaintiffs,

HARRY MENDOZA; NORVEL ORAZIO,

Plaintiffs-Appellees.

VERSUS

THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS; ET AL,

THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
For the Eastern District of Louisiana  
98-CV-2868-J

---

January 5, 2001

Before JOLLY and DAVIS, Circuit Judges, and RESTANI\*, Judge.

PER CURIAM:\*\*

Appellants challenge the district court's interpretation of the consent decree at issue in this case. For the reasons stated by the district court in its order of May 31, 2000, we agree with

---

\*Judge, U.S. Court of International Trade, sitting by designation.

\*\*Pursuant to 5<sup>th</sup> Cir. R. 47.5, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5<sup>th</sup> Cir. R. 47.5.4.

the district court's interpretation of the consent decree and AFFIRM the judgment of the district court. Appellee's motions<sup>0</sup> to supplement the record, to strike a portion of the brief, and to strike the record are hereby DENIED.

AFFIRMED.