

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 00-30297  
Summary Calendar

---

ROY L. ROBERTS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

AL SOLOMON; Ms JEAN, Registered Dietician Caddo Correctional Center; Ms PERKINS, Kitchen Supervisor Caddo Correctional Center; KATHY SMITH, L.P.N. Caddo Correctional Center; DRAPER, Lieutenant, Classification Officer Caddo Correctional Center; JACKIE NEAL, Lieutenant; CHARLES LAW, Lieutenant; S.T. DAVIS, Sergeant; RABORN, Sergeant; MICHELL, Sergeant; JOHN SELLS, Chief; BOBBY ABRAHAM, Captain; COLEMAN, Captain; RICK ANDERSON, Deputy; SIMS, Deputy; F. EDMENSON, Deputy; J. WALKER, Deputy; HARRIS, Deputy; COMEAUX, Deputy; MILES, Deputy; WILSON, Deputy; D. THOMAS, Deputy,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 98-CV-465  
- - - - -  
September 19, 2000

Before JOLLY, SMITH, and DUHÉ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:<sup>1</sup>

Roy L. Roberts, Louisiana prisoner #308035, appeals the district court's summary-judgment dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. The heart of his argument on appeal is simply that he did not receive

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

the care he believes he was entitled to receive. However, Roberts' disagreement with the extensive medical treatment he received is not sufficient to raise a genuine issue of material fact. Norton v. Dimazana, 122 F.3d 286, 292 (5th Cir. 1997). Nor is there any merit to Roberts' suggestion that the defendants' cumulative acts of negligence are sufficient to raise a material fact issue for deliberate indifference. See Stewart v. Murphy, 174 F.3d 530, 537 (5th Cir. 1999). Accordingly, the district court did not err in granting summary judgment in favor of the defendants.

AFFIRMED.