## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-51250 Summary Calendar
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee
v.
QUENTIN LAVELLE JEFFRIES,
Defendant-Appellant
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas
ON PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING
(Opinion May 13, 2016, 5 Cir.,, F.3d)
Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:
Treating the petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel
rehearing, we DENY the petition for panel rehearing and note the following:
1 C:

1. Since the filing of Jeffries' petition for rehearing en banc, our court has ruled that Johnson v. United States, 576 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) does not apply to the career offender sentencing guidelines

definition in United States Sentencing Guideline § 4B1.2(a)(2). *In re Arnick*, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 11030 \*2 (5th Cir. Jun. 17, 2016).

2. Even assuming arguendo that Johnson does apply to the residual clause of USSG § 4B1.2(a)(2), nowhere in his belated briefing to our court last year, his petition for certiorari to the Supreme Court, or his petition for rehearing, has Jeffries demonstrated that he was sentenced under the residual clause. It is certainly not "clear" or "obvious" under plain error review that his conviction under Texas Penal Code § 22.02 for the crime of aggravated assault with a deadly weapon causing physical injury to which he pleaded guilty is not a "use of force" conviction under 4B1.2(a)(1) or an enumerated offense under Application Note 1 to § 4B1.2(a). See United States v. Guzman, 797 F.3d 346 (5th Cir. 2015); cf. Beckles v. United States, No. 15-8544, 2016 U.S. LEXIS 4142 (U.S. Jun. 27, 2016)(granting certiorari on a case involving whether a sawed-off shotgun is a crime of violence under § 4B1.2(a)); United States v. Soto-Rivera, 811 F.3d 53 (1st Cir. 2016)(addressing crime of felon in possession of a firearm under § 4B1.2(a)(2) and application notes); United States v. Lipscomb, 619 F.3d 474 (5th Cir. 2010)(plurality opinion addressing whether crime of possession of a sawed-off shotgun was a crime of violence). The Supreme Court did not strike down the "use of force" prong in Johnson and, indeed, since that time has applied a similar "use of force" prong in a case of a reckless assault. Voisine v. Armstrong, 195 L. Ed. 2d 736 (2016)("use of force" under 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33)(A) includes reckless assault).