

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS
FILED

JUN 27 2019

Complaint Numbers: 05-19-90084 through 05-19-90086

FIFTH CIRCUIT
LYLE W. GAYCE, CLERK


MEMORANDUM

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that in “stating that the Claim[s] Administrator denied [my] clam [sic] ... [d]etermining that [I] failed to prove causation because [my] business was not operating at the time of the oil spill,” the three subject United States Circuit Judges “did perjury [sic] themselves.”


The allegation relates directly to the merits of the judges’ decision and is therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.



Carl E. Stewart
Chief Judge

 , 2019

AUG 05 2019

FIFTH CIRCUIT
LYLE W. CAYCE, CLERK

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Complaint Nos. 05-19-90084 through 05-19-90086

Petition for Review by [REDACTED]
of the Final Order Filed June 27, 2019,
Dismissing Judicial Misconduct Complaint Against

[REDACTED]
Under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

ORDER

An Appellate Review Panel of the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit has reviewed the above-captioned petition for review, and all the members of the Panel have voted to affirm the order of Chief Judge Carl E. Stewart, filed June 27, 2019, dismissing the Complaint of [REDACTED] against [REDACTED]


[REDACTED] under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

The Order is therefore

AFFIRMED.

7-30-19

Date


Jerry E. Smith

United States Circuit Judge

For the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit