

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS
FILED

JAN 11 2016

FIFTH CIRCUIT
LYLE W. GAYCE, CLERK

Docket Number: 05-16-90036

MEMORANDUM

Complainant, a *pro se* litigant, complains that even though he timely filed a “supplement where all parties were named”, the subject United States District Judge “denied it saying not timely and not enough evidence.” Complainant, who claims to suffer from Traumatic Brain Injury, further alleges that the judge’s finding that the supplement was untimely filed violated the Americans with Disabilities Act.


The allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge’s decisions, and are therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Complainant also asserts that he “would have been finished in the district court much sooner” if the district court clerk’s office had informed him that certain forms were available on the court’s website.

The judge is not responsible for the conduct of clerk’s office personnel, and the allegation is therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.


Carl E. Stewart
Chief Judge

January 7, 2016