

March 5, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-30700
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

WILLIAM TODD CLARK,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
USDC No. 5:05-CR-50093

Before DeMOSS, STEWART, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

William Todd Clark appeals the sentence imposed following his guilty plea conviction for being a felon in possession of a firearm. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Clark argues that his sentence of 71 months of imprisonment, which was at the top of the applicable advisory sentencing guideline range, is unreasonable under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) because it was greater than necessary to achieve the goals of sentencing.

Clark's sentence was within a properly calculated advisory guideline range and is presumed reasonable. See United States v.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Alonzo, 435 F.3d 551, 554 (5th Cir. 2006). Little explanation of a sentence is required when a district court expressly imposes a sentence within the advisory guideline range. United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511, 519 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 126 S. Ct. 43 (2005). Clark has failed to demonstrate that his properly calculated Guidelines sentence was unreasonable, and he is therefore not entitled to relief. See Alonzo, 435 F.3d at 554.

Accordingly, the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.