

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 1, 2011

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 11-50344
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

GILBERTO VALLE-PINADA, also known as Miguel Fernando Valle-Pineda, also known as Gilberto Valla, also known as Francisco Pineda Maldonado, also known as Francisco Maldonado, also known as Heriberto Pindea, also known as Gilberto Pineda Valle, also known as Alberto Rodriguez-Gutierrez, also known as Herberto Pineda,

Defendant - Appellant

Consolidated with
No. 11-50363

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

GILBERTO VALLE-PINADA, also known as Francisco Maldonado, also known as Herberto Pineda, also known as Alberto Rodriguez-Gutierrez,

Defendant - Appellant

No. 11-50344 c/w No. 11-50363

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 1:11-CR-34-1
USDC No. 1:09-CR-375-1

Before KING, JOLLY, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Gilberto Valle-Pinada has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Valle-Pinada has filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Valle-Pinada's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeals present no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review.

To the extent Valle-Pinada suggests that counsel provided ineffective assistance, the record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration of his claim at this time; such a claim generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations." *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEALS ARE DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.