United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

August 28, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-20876 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

TERRYLON MCDANIEL,

PER CURIAM:*

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:00-CR-870-ALL

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Terrylon McDaniel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief as required by <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). McDaniel has not filed a response.

This court must examine the basis of its jurisdiction on its own motion if necessary. Mosley v. Cozby, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). Article III, § 2 of the Constitution limits federal court jurisdiction to actual cases and controversies. Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). The case-or-controversy requirement

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

demands that "some concrete and continuing injury other than the now-ended incarceration or parole -- some 'collateral consequence' of the conviction -- must exist if the suit is to be maintained." Id.

McDaniel has served the sentence that was imposed upon the revocation of her supervised release. The order revoking McDaniel's term of supervised release imposed no further term of supervised release. Accordingly, there is no case or controversy for this court to address, and the appeal is dismissed as moot. Counsel's motion to withdraw is denied as unnecessary.

MOTION DENIED AS UNNECESSARY; APPEAL DISMISSED.